

**REMARKS**

This amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed December 19, 2006. This amendment addresses all grounds for rejection contained in the Office Action.

The drawings were rejected as lacking textual labels for the enumerated items appearing in the drawings. Redlined drawings for FIGS.1-3 are submitted herein.

The specification was objected to as containing several editorial errors. Correction of these errors is requested herewith.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for use of the word “first” with respect to a network and a network connection. Applicant has amended claim 1 herein to more accurately define the invention.

Claim 1 was also rejected under the statutory rejection of double patenting. Applicant has amended claim 1 to broaden the scope of claim 1.

**INVITATION TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE**

If the Examiner feels that any remaining issues can be resolved by telephone, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone listed below.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicant has addressed all grounds for rejection and objection as stated in the Office action mailed December 19, 2006, and respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and place this application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,  
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD.

Date: April 19, 2007

/john w. crosby/

Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.  
1657 Highway 395, Suite 202  
Minden, NV 89423  
Telephone: (775) 586-9500

John W. Crosby  
Reg. No. 49,058